



Status of parthenium weed (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) and its control options in Ethiopia

Zelalem Bekeko

Haramaya University Chiro Campus, Department of Plant Sciences, Po box 335, Chiro, Ethiopia.

Abstract

Parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) is an invasive herbaceous weed which belongs to the family Compositae. It is believed to have originated in tropical America and now occurs widely in Asia, Australia, Southern and east Africa. *Parthenium* has been observed to grow on road sides, gardens, waterways, in grasslands and crop fields both during the crop season and after harvest, as long as enough moisture is available. In Ethiopia it is believed to have been introduced in the 1970s and currently covering almost all parts of the country causing up to 97 % yield reduction in crop fields and 100 % reduction in forage crops. The weed has become a problem for range, forest and crop lands. It grows in any soil type and in a wide range of habitats and is also known to affect animal and human health. It causes loss of bio diversity and presently it was recorded as an invasive alien weed species in Ethiopia. Suggested control measures include hand pulling, mowing and the use of herbicides. Hand pulling and mowing, however, have limited value because of the enormous amount of labor required and the sensitivity of humans to allergens produced by the weed. If herbicides are used, multiple applications are necessary. As well, appropriate herbicides are not available in all areas where the weed is a problem. Small-scale farmers may not be able to invest in management options for *parthenium* especially for pasture, fallow, wasteland, grazing land and road sides. Biological control using insect pests and plant pathogens in an integrated *parthenium* management system is one solution.

Key words: *Parthenium hysterophorus*, Epidemiological factors, Small scale farmers, control, Ethiopia.

Introduction

Parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) is an invasive herbaceous weed that is believed to have originated in the tropical Americas. It now occurs widely throughout Asia, Australia Southern and east Africa. *Parthenium* is an annual procumbent leafy herb, 0.5 – 2.5 m tall, bearing alternate, pinnate leaves.

It belongs to the family Compositae. *Parthenium* has been observed to grow on roadsides, in gardens, along waterways, in grasslands and crop fields, both during the crop season and after harvest, as long as enough moisture is available. Major ecological and morphological characteristics that contribute to its severe invasiveness are its adaptability to wide climatic and soil conditions, its production of enough moisture is available.

Major ecological and morphological characteristics that contribute to its severe invasiveness are its adaptability to wide climatic and soil conditions, its production of allelopathic chemicals and its ability to produce large numbers of seeds (10,000 - 25,000 per plant). Seeds are small (1 - 2 mm diameter) and light in weight (50 µg/seed) and can be transported long distance by wind, water and other means (Navie *et al.*, 1996). There is no solid evidence that indicates how and when it was introduced into Ethiopia. It was first reported in 1988 at Dire Dawa, in Eastern Ethiopia (Seifu, 1990). Possibly, it was introduced into Ethiopia through the wheat seeds donated for relief and/or during the Ethio-Somali war of 1976/77 (Tamado and Milberg, 2000). At present, it is found profusely distributed in all parts of the

country. Thus, its management is of a high concern to the government, researchers and farmers (Taye *et al.*, 2004; Mohammed, 2010).

So far, research attention has focused on its occurrence as a weed on range lands and waste sites (Navie *et al.*, 1996). However, parthenium can cause severe crop losses. In India, a yield reduction of 40 % in agricultural crops and a 90 % reduction in forage production in grasslands were reported (Khosla and Sobti, 1981). Similarly in eastern Ethiopia, it was reported that sorghum grain yield was reduced between 40 to 97 % due to this weed in different seasons (Tamado *et al.*, 2002). Parthenium was reported to replace native grass species and cause dermatitis, bloating and diarrhea on livestock (Dhileepan *et al.*, 1997). These authors also reported that milk, meat and honey obtained from parthenium-infested areas are bitter and not tasteful and they also suggested individuals hand weeding or hoeing in parthenium- infested crops suffer from skin allergy, itching, fever and asthma.

Parthenium is a major new agricultural weed in Ethiopia (Tamado *et al.*, 2002; Taye *et al.*, 2004 and Mohammed, 2010). Presently the major maize, sorghum, tef, coffee, spice and wheat growing regions in Ethiopia, for example, are being infested by parthenium weed. Tadele (2002), Wakjira *et al.* (2005) and Wakjira (2009) studied the allelopathic effect of parthenium weed in Ethiopia on tef, lettuce and onion, respectively. It was found that this weed has a significant effect on germination capacity and seedling growth of these crops.

This in turn has a significant yield reduction effect on these economically important crops. Thus, the spread of parthenium in Ethiopia would be a bigger risk to the expansion and sustainable production of many crops in the country which can potentially interfere with the food self-sufficiency and food security program envisioned (Wakjira, 2009). Although there are several methods to control parthenium, each has its own limitations. For instance, removing by slashing or mowing results in regeneration of new shoots that entail repeated operation. Manual and mechanical uprooting also prove to be of limited value owing to enormous amounts of labor and time required and the vulnerability of workers to various kinds of allergies.

Chemical control, though effective, is temporary

and needs repeated application. Biological control is the best alternative, because it is economically feasible, ecologically sound and socially acceptable. It also minimizes cost of crop production (Evans, 1997). Use of host specific and indigenous biological control agents including insects and pathogens is recommended but this needs further research. One of the pathogens that cause rust on parthenium in Ethiopia was identified as *Puccinia abrupta* var. *parthenicola* (Taye *et al.*, 2004) which is a macrocyclic and autoecious pathogen. It was found infecting leaves, stems, and floral parts of parthenium in cool and humid areas. Symptoms on the plant were chlorosis, twisting of leaf, necrosis, reduction in vegetative growth and seed production (Taye *et al.*, 2004; Bekeko *et al.*, 2012). So far in Ethiopia there is no compressive information regarding the status and its control options on parthenium weed. Therefore, the objective of this review was to give first hand information for scientists and farmers on this noxious weed for further interventions to be made in managing parthenium weed.

Impacts of Parthenium Weed

The impact of parthenium weed on agriculture is summarized by Gupta and Sharma (1977), Khosla and Sobti (1981), Parsons and Cuthbertson (1992), McFadyen (1992), Evans (1997a), Tamado *et al.* (2002), Wakjira *et al.* (2005), Fauiz (2009), Wakjira (2009), Mohammed (2010) and (Timsina *et al.*, 2011). These authors described that this weed can affect crop production, animal production, human health, and biodiversity in its area of infestation. Hence, parthenium has a wide range and potentially lethal impact on man's affair.

Effects on Crop Production

In India, Khosla and Sobti (1981) reported 40 % sorghum yield reduction due to parthenium. Channappagoudar *et al.* (1990) also reported that the presence of parthenium in irrigated sorghum in India reduced grain yields from 6.47 to 4.25 tons/ha (34.3%) and decreased grain weight by 30%. In Ethiopia Tamado *et al.* (2002) reported 40 to 97% sorghum yield reduction in eastern Ethiopia owing to this weed at different locations and in different seasons. Other than direct competition for nutrients, water and sunlight, allelopathic effects of parthenium on other plants

is another important biological characteristic for the success and its aggressiveness as a weed. In many studies water soluble phenolics (caffeic acid, ferulic acid, vanillic acid, anisic acid, and fumaric acid) and sesquiterpene lactones, mainly parthenin, have been reported from the roots, stems, leaves, inflorescence, achenes (seeds) and pollen of parthenium (Kanchan and Jayachandra, 1980).

Effect on animal production

Evans (1997) indicated that the impact of parthenium on livestock production is directly as well as indirectly by affecting grazing land, animal health, milk and meat quality, and marketing of pasture seeds and grain. The occurrence of parthenium weed in grasslands was observed to reduce the forage production besides making the land less fertile. In India the weed has reduced the pasture carrying capacity by up to 90% (Nath, 1988). McFadyen (1992) reported the loss of AU \$ 16 million from the beef industry owing to the impact of this weed on beef cattle in Australia.

Effect on human health

Parthenium is also known to cause human health problems like asthma, bronchitis, dermatitis, and hay fever (Fauzi, 2009). It is reported that continued close contact with parthenium can develop allergic eczematous contact dermatitis (AECD) while inhalation of pollen can cause allergic rhinitis which can develop into bronchitis or asthma if the pollen enters the respiratory tract during mouth breathing (Evans, 1997).

Effect on biodiversity

Parthenium is also an environmental weed which can cause irreversible habitat changes in native grasslands, wood lands, river banks and flood plains in India, Australia and Nepal (McFadyen, 1992; Evans, 1997 and Timsina *et al.*, 2011). Huge stand of parthenium is common in all most all open areas. Parthenium, due to its allelopathic potential, replaces dominant flora and suppresses natural vegetation in a wide range of habitats and thus becomes a big threat to biodiversity.

Due to changes in above-ground vegetation cover and below-ground soil nutrient contents, *Parthenium hysterophorus* invasion is likely to have an overall negative effect on the functioning

of the entire ecosystem. Therefore, management of noxious *Parthenium hysterophorus* is necessary to prevent future problems (Timsina *et al.*, 2011).

Control of Parthenium

Biological control

The biological control of weeds involves the use of some suitable living organisms to curb their population to acceptable limits. This approach was first examined in 1902 in Hawaii, USA (Agrios, 2005). The biological weed control method should not be expected to eradicate the target weed from an area; in fact success of biological control of weed depends up on continued presence of the weed existing in small numbers and shifting with time (Gupta, 2002).

Selection of the bio- control agent will therefore be made based on host specificity, adjustability to the new environmental condition, rapid destroyer of the target weed, ease of multiplication and effectiveness on several taxa of the weed in question. No matter how the initial cost of biological control appears to be high, but in comparison to the cost of developing new herbicide it is quite reasonable (Gupta, 2002). Therefore, biological control appeared to offer the best, long-term solution for the management of parthenium.

Biological control of parthenium was first proposed in India in 1970 and a brief survey of insects attacking it was made in West Indies. But, no further work was undertaken until the Queensland Department of Lands (QDL), now National Resources Institute (NRI), made preliminary surveys of the weed in South America in 1975 (McFadyen, 1992).

The pathogen (*Puccinia abrupta* var. *partheniicola*)

The pathogen that causes rust on parthenium in Ethiopia was identified as *Puccinia abrupta* Diet. and Holw. var. *partheniicola* (Jackson) Parmelee 1967 (Taye *et al.*, 2004) it was found infecting leaves, stems and floral parts of parthenium plants in cool and humid areas of Ethiopia. Symptoms revealed on the plant were chlorosis, necrosis, and reduction in vegetative growth, and seed production. Host specificity of *Puccinia abrupta* on related crops and weed species showed that its sporulation was observed only on parthenium.

The rust (*Puccinia abrupta* var. *partheniicola*) is autoecious and macro cyclic (Evans, 1987). Studies

conducted by Parker et al. (1994) and Fauzi (2009) indicated that this pathogen is host specific and completes its life cycle on *Parthenium hysterophorus* and the closely related *Parthenium conferatum* though minor symptoms such as chlorosis and necrosis were observed with out sporulation on some sunflower cultivars. In Ethiopia it was introduced possibly together with parthenium from Kenya and/or Somalia (Taye et al., 2004) for the presence of *Puccinia abrupta* was reported in Kenya in 1977 (Evans, 1997).

This pathogen has a life cycle of 14 days and its symptom starts to be seen in between 8-12 days after inoculation (Evans, 1997). Evans (1987) stated that *Puccinia abrupta* reduces both the vegetative growth of young plants and the seed production of older plants in some semi-arid, high-altitude localities (1400 - 1600 m). In these habitats, the rust was found to produce both uredinia and telia in abundance on the leaves, stems and inflorescence. However, in the more humid, low land and coastal situations, infection was generally light and only scattered uredinia occurred on the older rosette leaves (Evans, 1987).

Parker et al. (1994) made a detail study on *P. abrupta* and worked out its pathogenecity to determine the most virulent isolate, optimum conditions for infection, effect of repeated inoculation on host vigor, and host specificity against 120 plant species and varieties. They found out that no single isolate was consistently more virulent than others by comparing 1 Kenyan and 5 Mexican isolates although the isolate collected from Saltillo produced the most vigorous infection at high night temperatures (>20 °C).

Assessment of inoculation conditions showed that the temperature less than 20 °C and dew periods of more than 6 hours were required for abundant pustule production. Infection with the rust hastened leaf senescence significantly decreased the life span and dry weight of parthenium plants, and reduced flower production 10-fold.

Different studies conducted by (Bekeko et al., 2012; Taye et al., 2004) and (Fauzi, 2009) showed the rust has a significant effect in suppressing parthenium weed. However isolates collected

form different locations had a significant difference in reducing the morphological parameters of parthenium and its seed producing capacity at different locations and in different seasons.

Pathogenecity of the rust

Because parasites must infect hosts for their survival and parasite infection limits host fitness, pathogenecity in parasites and resistance in hosts are targets of selection. Plants resists disease through a variety of performed and induced barriers to infection (Agrios, 2005) and pathogens use virulence factors to over come plant defenses and make infection possible.

Much progress has been made in the studies of fungal pathogens at molecular genetics of virulence pathosystem. And this concept is very helpful in the researches of biological control of plant diseases and invasive weed species (McDonald, 2002). Using the rust (*Puccinia abrupta* var. *Parthenicola*), its pathogenecity was studied on parthenium weed and it was found that the infectivity of this pathogen is highly governed by leaf wetness, age of the plant, inoculum density and types of the isolates (Parker et al., 1994; Fauzi, 2009; Bekeko et al., 2012).

Epidemiology of the Rust

Knowledge of epidemiological features of plant diseases provides useful information for understanding the biology of their causal agents, and is the basis for the establishment, planning and monitoring of effective disease management strategies (Jeger, 2004). The first and more common approach to the epidemiological study of an epidemic is the analysis of its temporal dynamics through the description and interpretation of the disease progress curve (DPC).

DPC measures the change with time in the amount of diseases in the population of host plants, and may be considered as the epidemic "Signature" in the sense that it integrates all host, pathogen and environmental factors occurring during the epidemic (Campbell and Madden, 1990), which determine the final amount of disease.

In the biological control research, the pattern of the pathogen movement, sources of inoculum, direction of inoculum movement, the amount of initial inoculum and its rate of movement dictates the efficacy of the bio - agent (Savary, 2006) and

pathogens which have fast generation cycle and which are poly cyclic have a profound effect on the target pest.

Mathematical tools used in plant disease epidemiology

Plant disease epidemics are investigated according to variables of interest which are formulated as a function of external factors, for instance temperature and rain. The natures of the problem and epidemiologists specific questions determine the mathematical tool to be used for modeling plant disease epidemics (Kranz and Royle, 1978). In the study of polycyclic disease epidemics; disease progress curve, logistic regression, logistic and Gompertz models are used as a mathematical tools (McDonald *et al.*, 1993; Xu *et al.*, 2006). In addition Geostatistical analysis can also be used in polycyclic diseases like rust to determine spatial dependence of epidemics between neighboring plots or fields and in dictating patterns of epidemic dynamics at different distances and directions from foci (Fernando *et al.*, 2004).

Disease Progress Curve

Disease progress curve shows the epidemic dynamics over time (Agrios, 2005). This mathematical tool can be used to obtain information about the appearance and amount of inoculum, changes in host susceptibility, during growing period, weather events of cultural and control measures (Xu *et al.*, 2006).

Logistic Model

It was proposed firstly by Veshult in 1838 to represent human population growth. A second type of logistic model was proposed by Van der plank (1963), being more appropriate for most polycyclic diseases, meaning that there is secondary spread within a growing season (Forrest, 2007). This growth model is most widely used for describing epidemics of plant diseases.

Gompertz Model

This growth model is appropriate for polycyclic diseases as an alternative to logistic models. Gompertz model has an absolute rate curve that reaches a maximum more quickly and declines more gradually than the logistic models (Forrest, 2007). On general, growth models that incorporate few variables to describe disease dynamics have a good performance, however, this kinds of models sometimes don't satisfy the

acquiring characteristics because they frequently ignore relevant variables that affect the epidemic development (Xu *et al.*, 2006) e.g. host growth, fluctuating environmental conditions, length of latent and infection period, etc.

Logistic Regression Model

The logistic regression model is proved to be more sensitive than classical growth function models to detect significant differences in parameters such as the rate of incidence increase in fields or the initial amount of disease and to detect differences associated to explanatory variables such as the ecological area (Bergua *et al.*, 2008).

Hau and Mersha (2008) used logistic regression, logistic and Gompertz models to study the effect of bean rust on host dynamics of common bean in controlled greenhouse experiments. In addition, Fininsa (2001) has studied the epidemiology of maize rust (*Puccinia sorghi*) and common bean rust (*Uromyces appendiculatus*) using logistic regression, logistic and Gompertz models and in Savary (2006), it was showed that polycyclic diseases are more studied by using logistic and Gompertz models.

Similarly in studying the association of barley leaf rust (*Puccinia hordei*) with different production systems in Ethiopia, Woldeab *et al.* (2007) also used logistic regression model to determine the prevalence, incidence and severity of leaf rust on barley. In the same manner, Tamire *et al.* (2007) used logistic regression model to study the association of White rot (*Sclerotium cepivorm*) of garlic with environmental factors and cultural practices in the North Shewa, highlands of Ethiopia. But so far, the association of the rust (*Puccinia abrupta var. partheniicola*) epidemics with land use systems, cropping practices and various environmental conditions was not studied using logistic regression model in Ethiopia and else where in the world.

Molecular Approaches in Plant Pathogen Characterization

Molecular markers have been replacing or complimenting traditional morphological and agronomic characterization since they are virtually unlimited, cover the whole genome not influenced by the environment, and less time consuming. Each molecular marker has its advantages and draw backs. Therefore, application of molecular

marker techniques to diversity of questions must take in to account weather or not the data derived from technique provide the right information for answering the question being addressed (McDonald *et al.*, 1993). In the last two decades, DNA marker technologies have revolutionized the plant pathogen genomic analysis and have been extensively employed in many fields of molecular plant pathology. Molecular markers offer also the possibility of faster and accurate identification and early detection and characterization of plant pathogens (Setti *et al.*, 2011).

Among other important applications of these technologies which are increasingly developed as resourceful tools for quickly and sometimes cheaply assessing diverse aspects of plant pathogen genomes. These include genetic variation characterization, genome finger printing, gene mapping and tagging, genome evolution analysis, population genetic diversity, taxonomy and phylogeny of plant pathogen taxa. Ever since the introduction of both the DNA polymerase and the primer sequences (Mullis and Faloona, 1987) the use of PCR in research laboratories has increased tremendously. One of the PCR based DNA polymerase techniques is random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD).

Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD)

RAPD is the first PCR based marker developed simultaneously by Williams *et al.* (1990) and Welsh and McClelland (1990). These markers have been used to amplify the template DNA with out prior knowledge of the sequence fragment. These techniques also rely on the use of short identical pairs of primers generally of 5 to 15bp in length. Commonly used in the characterization of obligate parasites like rusts (McDonald *et al.*, 1993). The main advantage of RAPDs is that they require no prior knowledge of the genome as the same primers can be used to any organism. On the other hand, the technique is easy and quick to assay, hence a very large number of markers can be screened in very short period. Further as this technique is PCR based, it is very sensitive and very small quantities of DNA are needed, which is about 5 to 50ng per reaction (Williams *et al.*, 1993).

The amount and distribution of genetic variation within and among populations constitu-

tes the first step in the studies of fungal population genetics (Setti *et al.*, 2011). Genetic variation in plant pathogen populations is shaped by sexual recombination, mutation resulting in new genetic variants, migration of genetically distinct individuals between and within crop production regions, genetic drift and extinction events, and agricultural practices that have selective effects on their associated pathogens (Kolmer *et al.*, 2007).

Park *et al.* (2000) studied population structure of *Puccinia recondita* in Western Europe and assessed the variability in pathogenicity of the pathogen using RAPD molecular markers. Similarly, in yellow rust of wheat population study, McDonald *et al.* (2002) have used RAPD markers to assess population diversity of *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici* populations sampled on local scale in USA and Australia. Peros *et al.* (2006) has also used the RAPD markers to assess the genetic structure of *Erysiphe necator*, collected from different regions in south France where the most variation occurred among the individuals within population, although variation between regions was highly significant.

Onfory *et al.* (1999) also used the RAPDs to investigate genetic structure within and among natural populations of *Mycosphaerella pinodes* in France where a significant genetic variation within and among regions were observed. Thus, application of molecular markers in plant pathology has hastened the knowledge of plant disease studies at molecular level in the genomic marker era (Setti *et al.*, 2011).

References

- Agrios, G.N. 2005. Plant Pathology. 5th ed., Elsevier Academic Press, London, UK.
- Bekeko, Z.; Temam, H. and Taye, T. 2012. Distribution, Incidence, Severity and Effect of the Rust *Puccinia abrupta* var. *parthenicola* on *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. in Western Hararghe Zone, Ethiopia African J. Plant Sci., 6(13): 337-345.
- Berger, R.D. 1981. Comparison of the Gompertz and logistic equations to describe plant disease progress. *Phytopathol.*, 71:716-719.
- Bergua, M.M. Luis-Arteaga and Escriu, F. 2008. Comparison of logistic regression and growth function models for the analysis of the incidence of virus infection. *Spanish J. Agric. Res.*, 6: 170-176.

- Campbell, C.L. and Madden, L.V. 1990. Introduction to plant disease epidemiology. John Wiley and sons Inc. New York, USA, 532 pp.
- Channappagoudar, B.B.; Panchal, Y.C. Manjunath, S. and Koti, R.V. 1990. Studies on influence of parthenium on sorghum growth under irrigated conditions. *Farming Systems*, 6(3-4):102-104.
- Dhileepan, K. and Mc Fadyen, R.E. 1997. Biocontrol of parthenium in Australia: progress and prospects. In: Mahadevappa, M. and Petal, V.C. ed., Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on parthenium management, 6-8 October 1997, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dhaharwad, India. 55-62.
- Evans, H.C. 1987. Fungal pathogens of some subtropical and tropical weeds and the possibilities for biological control. *Biocontrol News and Information*, 8:7-30.
- Evans, H.C. 1997. *Parthenium hysterophorus*: a review of its weed status and the possibilities for biological control. *Biocontrol News and Information*, 18: 89-98.
- Fauzi, M.T. 2009. Effect of temperature and leaf wetness on infectivity of *Puccinia abrupta* var. *partheniicola* a bio-control agent of parthenium. Proceedings of the 11th Australian weed society, Queensland, Australia. 298-300 pp.
- Fernando, W.G. and Zhang, J.X. 2004. Temporal and spatial dynamics of Mycosphaerella blight (*Mycosphaerella pinodes*) in field pea. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.*, 26: 522-532.
- Fininsa, C. 2001. Association of maize rust (*Puccinia sorghi*) and leaf blight epidemics with cropping systems in Hararghe highlands, eastern Ethiopia. *Crop Prot.*, 20: 669-678.
- Forrest, R. 2007. Assessment of airborne primary inoculum availability and modeling of disease onset of ascochyta blight in field peas. *Europe J. Plant Pathol.*, 119:87-97.
- Gupta, O.P. and Sharma, J.J. 1977. Parthenium menace in India and possible control measures. *FAO Plant Prot. Bull.*, 25(3):112-117.
- Gupta, O.P. 2002. Modern weed management. Updesh, Purohit, New Delhi (India), 244 pp.
- Hau, B. and Mersha, K. 2008. Effect of bean rust (*Uromyces appendiculatus*) epidemics and host dynamics of common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). *Plant Pathol.*, 57: 674-686.
- Jeger, M. 2004. Analysis of disease progress as a basis of evaluating disease management practices. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 42:61-82.
- Kanchan, S. and Jayachandra, D. 1980. Pollen allelopathy - a new phenomenon. *New Phytologist*, 84: 739-746.
- Khosla, S.N. and Sobti, S.W. 1981. Effective control of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. *Pesticides*, 13: 121-127.
- McFadyen, R.C. 1992. Biological control of parthenium weed in Australia. *Crop Prot.*, 11: 400-407.
- McCullough, P. Nelder, J.A. 1989. Generalized linear models. Second ed. Chapman and Hall, London. 511 pp.
- McDonald, B.A. and Linde, 2002. Pathogen population genetics evolutionary potential and durable resistance. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 40. 349-379.
- McDonald, B.A. and McDermott, J.M. 1993. The population genetics of plant pathogenic fungi. *Biosci.*, 43: 311-319.
- Mohammed, W. 2010. Prevalence and distribution survey of an invasive alien weed (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) in Sheka zone, Southwestern Ethiopia. *African J. Agric. Res.*, 5(9): 922-927.
- Nath, R. 1988. *Parthenium hysterophorus*-a general account. *Agric. Rev.*, 9: 171-179.
- Navie, S.C.; Panetta, F.D.; McFadyen, R.E. and Adkins, S.W. 1996. The biology of Australian Weeds 27: *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. *Plant Prot. Quar.*, 11: 76-87.
- Onfary, C.B.; Tivoli, R. and Corbiere, Z.B. 1999. Cultural, Molecular and Pathogenic variability of *Mycosphaerella pinodes* and *Phoma medicaginis* var. *panodella* isolates from dried pea (*Pisum sativum*) in France. *Plant pathol.*, 48(2): 218-229.
- Parker, A.; Holden, A.N.G. and Tomley, A.J. 1994. Host specificity test and assessment of the pathogenicity of the rust, *Puccinia abrupta* var. *partheniicola* as a biological control of parthenium weed (*Parthenium hysterophorus*). *Plant Pathol.*, 43: 1-16.
- Park, R.F.; Jahoor, A. and Felsenstein, F.G. 2000.

- Population structure of *Puccinia recondita* in Western Europe during 1995, as assessed by variability in pathogenicity and molecular markers. *J. Phytopathol.*, 148: 169–179.
- Parmelee, J.A. 1967. The autoecious species of *Puccinia* on Heliantheae in North America. *Can. J. Botany*, 45: 2267-2328.
- Parsons, W.T. and Cuthbertson, E.G. 1992. Noxious Weeds of Australia. Melbourne, Australia: Inkata Press. Melbourne, 692 pp.
- Savary, S. 2006. Quantifying and modeling the mobilization of inoculum from diseased leaves and infected defoliated tissues in epidemics of angular leaf spot of bean. *Europe J. Plant Pathol.*, 113: 377-394.
- Seifu, W. 1990. *Parthenium hysterophorus* L., a recently introduced noxious weed to Ethiopia. A preliminary reconnaissance survey report on eastern Ethiopia. East Hararghe, Ministry of Agriculture, Ethiopia.
- Setti, B.; Bencheilk, M.; Henni, J. and Clarie, N. 2011. Advances of Molecular Markers Application in Plant Pathology Research. *European J. Sci. Res.*, 50(1): 110-123.
- Tadele, T. 2002. Allelopathic effect of *Parthenium hysterophorus* extracts on seed germination and seedling growth of *Eragrostis tef*. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, 188: 306-310.
- Tamado, T. and Milberg, P. 2000. Weed flora in arable fields of eastern Ethiopia with emphasis on the occurrence of *Parthenium hysterophorus*. *Weed Res.*, 40:507-521.
- Tamado, T.; Ohalander, L. and Milberg, P. 2002. Interference by the weed parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) with grain sorghum: influence of weed density and duration of competition. *Int. J. Pest Manag.*, 48: 183-188.
- Tamire, Z.; Chemed, F.; Sahkuja, P.K. and Seid, A. 2007. Association of white rot (*Sclerotium cepivorum*) of garlic with environmental factors and cultural practices in the North Shewa highlands of Ethiopia. *Crop Prot.*, 26:1566-1573.
- Taye, T.; Gossmann, M.; Einhorn, G.; Buttner, C.; Metz, R. and Abate, D. 2004. The potential of rust as biological control of parthenium weed (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) in Ethiopia. *Pest Manag. J. Ethiopia*, 8:83-95.
- Timsina, B.; Shreshta, B.B.; Rokaya, M.B. and Müunzbergová, Z. 2011. Impact of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. invasion on plant species composition and soil properties of grassland communities in central Nepal. *Flora*, 206 (3): 233-240.
- Van der Plank, J.E. 1963. Plant Disease: Epidemics and control. Academic Press, New York. 344 pp.
- Wakjira, M.; Berecha, G. and Bullti, B. 2005. Allelopathic effect of *Parthenium hysterophorus* extract on germination and seedling growth of lettuce. *Trop. Sci.*, 45: 159-162.
- Wakjira, M. 2009. Allelopathic effects of *Parthenium hysterophorus* on germination and growth of onion. *Allelopathy J.*, 24: 351-362.
- Welsh, J. and McClelland 1990. Finger printing genomes using PCR with arbitrary primers. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 18: 7213-7218.
- Williams, D.A. 1987. Generalized linear model diagnostics using the deviance and single case deletions. *Appl. Statist.*, 36(2): 181-191.
- Williams, J.; Hanafey, G.K.; Rafaliski, J.A. and Tingey, S.V. 1993. Genetic analysis using RAPD markers. *Methods Enzymol.*, 218: 704-740.
- Woldeab, G.; Fininsa, C.; Singh, H. and Yuen, J. 2007. Barley leaf rust (*Puccinia hordei*) in three production systems and practices in Ethiopia. *Crop Prot.*, 26: 1193-1202.
- Xu, J.R.; Peng, Y.L.; Dicman, M.B. and Sharon, A. 2006. The dawn of fungal pathogen genomics. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 44: 337-366.